

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PAPER

08/15/2007

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 21776-00042-US Nobuhiro Miki 8417 10/656,190 09/08/2003 30678 7590 08/15/2007 **EXAMINER** CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP 1875 EYE STREET, N.W. MARKOFF, ALEXANDER **SUITE 1100 ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20036 1746 **DELIVERY MODE** MAIL DATE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/656,190	MIKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alexander Markoff	1746		

	Alexander Markoff	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A. I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparisoning time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the		•	er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS		•	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co 			because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	TE below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	, ·	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	• ———		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		·	•
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is possess.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation		•	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		sing to bolow of allal	cu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	, , ,	Mes	Mes
	ALEXANDER MARKOFF	Alexander Markoff	
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants proposed to amend the claims to introduce limitations requiring the use of a steam introduction valve and the use of a steam spraying nozzle. The proposed limitations raise new issues, including the issues of 35 USC 112(2), and thereby would not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: f the reasons of the record and because the applicants rely on the proposed limitations, which would not be entered. The examiner agrees with the position expressed by examiner Kornakov in the previous Office action. The pending claims are not limited to two different steam processing steps.

Continuation of 13. Other: Please, note that the application was transferred to examiner Markoff.